

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,364	12/17/2004	David Roberts McMurtry	122069	8237	
25944	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			NOLAND, THOMAS		
P.O. BOX 199	28 A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ALLANDRI	A, VA 22320	2856			
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_\		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0		
Office Action Summary		10/518,364	MCMURTRY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thomas P. Noland	2856			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2004.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		ian Na			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	, ,				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	·	eu in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	' ''	ed			
·						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>20041217</u> .	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-102)			

- 1. The preliminary amendment filed Dec. 17, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The 1st species is a method of measuring an object on a coordinate positioning apparatus as initially described on page 5, lines 8-24 where a first object is measured at a slow speed and is believed to be claimed in claims 3-4, 6 and 10-12. The 2nd species is a method of measuring an object on a coordinate positioning apparatus as initially described on page 5, line 26-page 6, line 16 where a first object is measured at a fast speed and is believed to be claimed in claims 5, 7 and 13. The 3rd species is a method of measuring an object on a coordinate positioning apparatus as initially described on page 8, line 33- page 9, line 17 and described as a third embodiment on page 20, lines

Art Unit: 2856

1-12 where an extrapolation to zero probe force is specified and is believed to be claimed in claim 8. (Alternatively the relation of the 3rd species with respect to the 1st and 2nd species appears to be functionable as distinct subcombinations usable together.)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. Claims 1-2 and 9 will be examined with whichever species is elected. It is unclear whether claims 14-15 belong with the first or second species.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 2800 Customer Service at (571) 272-2815.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856

Thom N. I

July 13, 2006